	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/603,409	HOYNS, DIRK V.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho	3731

All Participants:

Status of Application: _Amedment filed 3/15/04_

(1) _(Jackie) Tan-Uyen T. Ho_.

(3) _____.

(2) _Aurther Z. Bookstein_.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _21 May 2004_

Time: _____

Type of Interview:
- ☒ Telephonic
- ☐ Video Conference
- ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
2

Prior art documents discussed:
6,231,599

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggests Applicant to amend claim 2 in order to overcome the cited art. Applicant agrees to include the limitation "a link curving in one direction from one root to a transition region and in an opposite direction from the transition region to another root" in claim 2 to overcome the cited art. Applicant also agrees to cancel claims 29-34, 40-43, 48 and amend claims 1-6,8,9,12,23-25,35-38 and 44-46 (see examiner's amendment for the replacement of claims) in order to place the claims in better form. .